

**To:** LaPoma, Jennifer[LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Willard Potter[otto@demaximis.com]; Flanagan, Sarah[Flanagan.Sarah@epa.gov]; Sivak, Michael[Sivak.Michael@epa.gov]; William Hyatt[william.hyatt@klgates.com]  
**From:** Robert Law  
**Sent:** Thur 7/14/2016 5:11:26 PM  
**Subject:** RE: June 16 RI Comment Review Mtg - Summary

Jen:

The CPG acknowledges receipt of the Region's revisions to the June 16 meeting summary. The CPG will review the Region's revisions and follow-up as necessary.

Thank you.

R/  
Rob

Robert Law, Ph.D.  
*de maximis, inc.*  
rlaw@demaximis.com  
Voice: 908-735-9315  
Fax: 908-735-2132

>>> "LaPoma, Jennifer" <LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov> 7/14/2016 12:48 PM >>>  
Rob,

Attached are EPA revisions to the CPG provided June 16<sup>th</sup> RI comment meeting minutes. Our revisions are included in redline strikeout. Please note the following about our revisions:

- On Page 1, Paragraph 2 which begins "After the CPG's opening remarks." EPA has removed this paragraph (except the last sentence) as the language drafted by CPG does not accurately reflect the discussion. No new revisions have been provided as this discussion was not germane to the objective of the meeting.
- On Page 2, first sub bullet of second bullet under "Characteristics and fate/transport of tributaries (comments 139, 192) : The revisions were provided to reflect interpretation of the CPG phrase "within the HOT".

As a follow up to our June 16<sup>th</sup> meeting and to actions identified in the attached revised meeting minutes please see the following responses:

**186. (General Comments - Section 6)**

The comment is for data evaluation. The discussion should have a level of detail similar to the FFS RI Report.

**197. (Page 74, Section 6.1.2, first bullet).**

The comment is for data evaluation. The information should be provided for low (Regime 1), moderate (Regime 2), and high (Regime 3) energy flows (see page 29 for flow regime descriptions).

**198. (Page 74, Section 6.1.2, second bullet).**

The comment is for data evaluation. The information should be provided for low (Regime 1), moderate (Regime 2), and high (Regime 3) energy flows (see page 29 for flow regime descriptions).

**109e. (General Comments Section 4).**

The discussion should have a level of detail similar to the FFS RI Report.

As a next step, please submit responses to EPA's RI Comments dated April 4, 2016 no later than 60 days from today's date.

Thanks,  
Jennifer LaPoma

**From:** Robert Law [mailto:rlaw@demaximis.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2016 1:15 PM  
**To:** LaPoma, Jennifer <LaPoma.Jennifer@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Willard Potter <otto@demaximis.com>; William Hyatt <william.hyatt@klgates.com>  
**Subject:** June 16 RI Comment Review Mtg - Summary

Jen:

Attached is a summary of the June 16 meeting.

Please contact me with any questions or comments.

Thanks

R/  
Rob

Robert Law, Ph.D.  
*de maximis, inc.*  
[rlaw@demaximis.com](mailto:rlaw@demaximis.com)  
Voice: 908-735-9315  
Fax: 908-735-2132